

# Building traffic matrices to support peering decisions

#### Paolo Lucente

pmacct

AfPIF 2017, Abidjan – Aug 2017

#### whoami

Paolo Lucente GitHub: <u>paololucente</u> LinkedIn: <u>plucente</u>



Digging data out of networks worldwide for fun and profit for more than 10 years

#### pmacct is open-source, free, GPL'ed software



#### pmacct: a few simple use-cases



#### pmacct: a slightly more complex use-case





#### Use cases by industry

#### **ISPs, Hotspots, Data-center**

Monitor customer quotas or fair-usage policy Peering

**IXPs** 

Infer member relations Provide members traffic stats Capacity planning Triggering alarms Historical traffic trends Feeding into 3<sup>rd</sup> party tools

#### **Mobile operators**

Verify roaming charges Inspect subscribers behaviour

#### **IP Carriers, CDNs**

Detect revenue leaks Customer retention Peering

**SDN** 

Query of traffic stats on custom spatial and temporal bounds

### Key pmacct non-technical facts

- 10+ years old project
- Can't spell the name after the second drink
- Free, open-source, independent
- Under active development
- Innovation being introduced
- Well deployed around, also in large SPs/IXPs
- Close to the SP/IXP community needs

# Some technical facts (1/2)

- Pluggable architecture:
  - Can easily add support for new data sources and backends
- Correlation of data sources:
  - Natively supported data sources (ie. flow telemetry, BGP, BMP, IGP, Streaming Telemetry)
  - Enrich with external data sources via tags and labels
- Enable analytics against each data source:
  - Stream real-time
  - Dump at regular time intervals (possible state compression)

# Some technical facts (2/2)

- Build multiple views out of the very same collected network traffic, ie.:
  - Unaggregated to flat-files for security and forensics; or to message brokers (RabbitMQ, Kafka) for Big Data
  - Aggregated as [ <ingress router>, <ingress interface>, <BGP next-hop>, <peer destination ASN> ] and sent to a SQL DB to build an internal traffic matrix for capacity planning purposes
- Pervasive data-reduction techniques, ie.:
  - Data aggregation
  - Filtering
  - Sampling

# Why speaking of traffic matrices?

- Are traffic matrices useful to a network operator in the first place? Yes ...
  - Capacity planning (build capacity where needed)
  - Traffic Engineering (steer traffic where capacity is available)
  - Better understand traffic patterns (what to expect, without a crystal ball)
  - Support peering decisions (traffic insight, traffic engineering at the border, support what if scenarios)

# Why speaking of traffic matrices?

- Traffic matrices keep a relatively behind the scenes topic
- Some works approach the topic formally
- Other works say about the goodies of traffic matrices:
  - But where to start building one?
  - What challenges does the task present?
  - What resources do I need?
  - Which choices and options do I have?

#### Back to square 1

(Building traffic matrices to support peering decisions)

- What is needed:
  - BGP
  - Telemetry data: NetFlow/IPFIX, sFlow, libpcap
  - Collector: tool (ie. pmacct 🙂)
  - Storage: noSQL, RDBMS or legacy (ie. RRD) solution
  - Enrichment and post-processing scripts
  - UI
- Risks:
  - 800 pound gorilla project

## Getting BGP to the collector

- Needed for technical reasons:
  - Flow exporters use NetFlow v5, ie. no BGP next-hop
  - Flow exporters are unaware of BGP
  - Libpcap is used to collect traffic data
- Needed for topology or traffic related reasons:
  - Transiting traffic to 3<sup>rd</sup> parties
  - Dominated by outbound traffic

#### Getting BGP to the collector (cont.d)

- Let pmacct collector BGP peer with all PE devices: facing peers, transit and customers
  - No best-path computation at the collector: scalability preferred to memory usage
  - Count some 50MB of memory per full-routing table
- Set the collector as iBGP peer at the PE devices:
  - Configure it as a RR client
  - Collector acts as iBGP peer across (sub-)AS boundaries
- BGP next-hop has to represent the egress point (node or interface) of the network

### Getting telemetry to the collector

- Export ingress-only measurements at all PE devices: facing peers, transit and customers.
  - Traffic is routed to destination, so plenty of information on where it's going to
    - True, some eBGP multi-path scenarios may get challenging
  - It's crucial instead to get as much as possible about where traffic is coming from, ie.:
    - input interface at ingress router
    - source MAC address
- Perform data reduction at the PE (ie. sampling)

# Getting telemetry to the collector (cont.d)

- Multiple flow collectors can be in use, ie. for different purposes. Typical export models:
  - Single tier, unicast: PE devices perform N exports
  - Multiple tiers: PEs perform export to transparent replicators in active/standby fashion; these in turn stream telemetry data to the actual collectors
- It's crucial flow collectors can tag, aggregate, filter, etc. telemetry data:
  - ... might be not all data is for every collector

#### Telemetry data/BGP correlation



- Edge routers send full BGP tables to pmacct
- 2 Traffic flows
- NetFlow records are sent to pmacct
- Pmacct looks up BGP information: NF src addr == BGP src addr

#### Storing data persistently

- Data need to be aggregated both in spatial and temporal dimensions before being written down:
  - Optimal usage of system resources
  - Avoids expensive consolidation of micro-flows
- Build project-driven data set(s):
  - No shame in multiple partly overlapping data-sets
  - Optimize computing

# Storing data persistently (cont.d)

- "noSQL" databases (Big Data <sup>(C)</sup>):
  - Able to handle large time-series data-sets
  - Meaningful subset of SQL query language
  - Innovative storage and indexing engines
  - Scalable: clustering, spatial and temporal partitioning
  - UI-ready: ie. ELK and TICK stacks
- Open-source RDBMS:
  - Able to handle large data-sets
  - Flexible and standardized SQL query language
  - Solid storage and indexing engines
  - Scalable: clustering, spatial and temporal partitioning

### Enriching data



);

#### Post-processing and reporting

#### Traffic delivered to a BGP peer, per location:

mysql> SELECT peer\_as\_dst, peer\_ip\_dst, SUM(bytes), stamp\_inserted \
FROM acct\_bgp \
WHERE peer\_as\_dst = <peer | customer | IP transit> AND
 stamp\_inserted = < today | last hour | last 5 mins > \
GROUP BY peer as dst, peer ip dst

#### Aggregate AS PATHs to the second hop:

mysql> SELECT SUBSTRING\_INDEX(as\_path, '.', 2) AS as\_path, bytes \
 FROM acct\_bgp \
 WHERE local\_pref = < IP transit pref> AND
 stamp\_inserted = < today | yesterday | last week > \
 GROUP BY SUBSTRING\_INDEX(as\_path, '.', 2)
 ORDER BY SUM(bytes)

#### - Focus peak hour (say, 8pm) data:

...

mysql> SELECT ... FROM ... WHERE ... \
 stamp\_inserted LIKE '2010-02-% 20:00' \

#### Post-processing and reporting (cont.d)

 Traffic breakdown, ie. top N grouping BGP peers of the same kind (ie. peers, customers, transit):

mysql> SELECT ... FROM ... WHERE ...  $\backslash$ 

local\_pref = <<peer | customer | IP transit> pref> \

#### - Traffic matrix (or a subset of it):

```
mysql> SELECT peer_ip_src, peer_ip_dst, bytes, stamp_inserted \
 FROM acct_bgp \
 WHERE [ peer_ip_src = <location A> AND \
     peer_ip_dst = <location Z> AND \ ]
     stamp_inserted = < today | last hour | last 5 mins > \
     GROUP BY peer_ip_src, peer_ip_dst
```

#### UI



Credits to Dailymotion



Credits to Cisco Systems



Credits to Catalin Petrescu (cpmarvin)

#### Telemetry data correction

- Telemetry data may get imprecise (ie. due to sampling)
- Use interface stats as gold standard
- Mold telemetry data .. to match interface stats:
  - Builds on Traffic Matrix estimation methods:
    - Tutorial: Best Practices for Determining the Traffic Matrix in IP Networks, NANOG 43
  - Adds telemetry data to linear system to solve
  - Solve system such that there is strict conformance with link stat values, with other measurements matched as best possible

# Briefly on scalability

- A single collector might not fit it all:
  - Memory: can't store all BGP full routing tables
  - CPU: can't cope with the pace of telemetry export
- Divide-et-impera approach is valid:
  - Assign PEs (both telemetry and BGP) to collectors
  - If natively supported DB:
    - Assign collectors to DB nodes
    - Cluster the DB
  - If not-natively supported DB:
    - Assign collectors to message brokers
    - Cluster the messaging infrastructure

# Briefly on scalability (cont.d)

- Intuitively, the matrix can become big:
  - Can be reduced by excluding entities negligible to the specific scenario:
    - Keep smaller routers out of the equation
    - Filter out specific (class of) customers
    - Focus on downstream if CDN, upstream if ISP
    - Sample or put thresholds on traffic relevance

#### Further information about pmacct

- <u>https://github.com/pmacct/pmacct</u>
  - Official GitHub repository, where star and watch us  $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$
- http://www.pmacct.net/lucente\_pmacct\_uknof14.pdf
  - More about coupling telemetry and BGP
- http://ripe61.ripe.net/presentations/156-ripe61-bcpplanning-and-te.pdf
  - More about traffic matrices, capacity planning & TE
- <u>https://github.com/pmacct/pmacct/wiki/</u>
  - Wiki: docs, implementation notes, ecosystem, etc.



# You all invited to the pmacct BoF AfPIF 2017 Day #3 (Thu) @ 11:00 Plenary room

AfPIF 2017, Abidjan – Aug 2017



# Building traffic matrices to support peering decisions

## Thanks! Questions?

Paolo Lucente <paolo@pmacct.net>

http://www.pmacct.net/ | https://github.com/pmacct/pmacct

AfPIF 2017, Abidjan – Aug 2017