

Evaluating Peering Locations

African Peering and Interconnection Forum

Nairobi, Kenya. August, 2010

Jonny Martin

Packet Clearing House

What's the best IXP to build to?

- How long is a piece of string?
- It depends...

Service Provider Goal

- Minimise the *cost* of operating the business
- Luckily most ISPs need to provide a “good” quality service
 - Often a *cost* associated with bad performance

An IXP is more than just a switch

- A common meet-me point
- A hub for innovative and new businesses
- Focus point for connectivity
- In or surrounded by co-location facilities
- A community and people hub

In brief: “Building” an IXP

➤ Determining Need

- Sufficient users? How much local traffic?
- Existing facilities?

➤ Geographic Location

- Fibre facilities, ‘near’ participants

➤ Density

- Centralized in one room? Campus style?

In brief: “Building” an IXP

➤ Building Management

- Telco hotel? University or City facility?

➤ In-building Facilities

- Pathways, power, cooling, access/security

➤ Services

- Switch fabric, cross connects?
- Route-server? DNS and other servers?

In brief: “Building” an IXP

➤ Business Structure

- Incorporated? Staffed / volunteer?
- Non / for-profit? Ownership?
- Cost recovery?

➤ Policies

- Bilateral / Multilateral / Mandatory Multilateral peering?
- Extensible switch fabric? Privacy policy?

What makes an IXP attractive?

- Lots of routes
- Lots of participants
 - On switch fabric, or co-located in facility
- Networks of interest
 - Local content and ISPs
 - Content - DNS servers, Google, CDNs
- Suitable co-location

Benefits of being at an IXP

- Ideally, reduced cost per Mbit/s
- Higher performance
 - Lower latency
 - “More” bandwidth
 - Increased resiliency
- Stop exporting capital offshore
 - Keep local content local, and help with *creation* of local content
- Marketing: “We support local industry”

But... there are costs involved

- Cost of:
 - getting to the IXP
 - being at the IXP
 - connecting to the IXP
 - additional network management

- All of this is relative to your existing cost structure

From a pure *cost* perspective:

- If the cost of peering \leq cost of that peered traffic via transit

Then Peer!

- *Generally* it will make sense to peer at a local IXP even for relatively small traffic volumes

Taking a wider view

- Cost alone isn't everything
- Higher performance might be worth it, even if transit is cheaper than peering
- Benefits of increased peering apparent as the local Internet industry grows
- IXPs foster a sense of community
 - Increased contact and cooperation between participants

What makes an IXP attractive?

- Lots of routes
- Lots of participants
 - On switch fabric, or co-located in facility
- Networks of interest
 - Local content and ISPs
 - Content - DNS servers, Google, CDNs
- Suitable co-location

Evaluating “value” of an IXP

- IXP operators and their websites
- PeeringDB
 - Who is there?
 - What are their policies?
- IXP directories
 - www.pch.net/ixpdir
 - Convenient view of many IXPs



Jonny Martin
jonny@pch.net

www.pch.net/documents